

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

political units can intrude an opposition of force only at the cost of progress. Neither national isolation nor national omnipotence is possible, and the attempt to build a wall of exclusion or of antagonisms is useless or mischievous. It is an exceedingly thoughtful thesis that Mr. Angell presents and it reflects high social ideals. It would seem that modern conditions were ripe for a serious consideration of his political thought.

Social Justice without Socialism. By John Bates Clark. Boston and New York: Houghton Mifflin Co., 1914. 16mo, pp. 49. \$0.50.

In this essay Professor Clark discusses various non-socialistic methods of social improvement, which he urges should be given a fair trial before more extreme measures are adopted. His program of reform would include the initiative, the referendum, the recall, and the short ballot. The more direct participation of the people in government, he believes, would make possible the enactment of economic and social legislation that would promote social These laws would be designed primarily to benefit the poor man. To satisfy those who now complain of their poverty, the author would favor prohibition of child labor, except under certain restrictions, regulation of the hours of work of adult employees in some occupations, the revision of the protective tariff, the reform of the banking and currency system, and the establishment of public works to solve the problem of unemployment. Laws restricting the power of monopoly, however, would be most efficacious in removing injustice in the distribution of the social dividend. This scheme of social justice would halt before reaching the boundaries of socialism. Socialists decry interest on capital as unjust, but Professor Clark suggests it is right for a man to pay interest for the use of capital because he can catch more fish with a hook and line from a canoe than he can with his bare hands. The wages of the lower classes can be raised by forces which tend to increase the amount of capital; not by the practice of sabotage.

Boycotts and the Labor Struggle. By HARRY W. LAIDLER. New York: John Lane Co., 1913. 8vo, pp. 488. \$2.00 net.

If one really wants to know what the boycott has meant in the labor struggle and what it is likely to mean, Mr. Laidler's statement of the situation should help toward such an understanding. The best of what he has to say is found in the second and third parts of the book. Part I, though necessary to his exposition, is rather wearisome, because the purpose of the historical matter there presented is not clear at the time, and its detail therefore does not seem to bear on any definite point. The discussion of the status of the boycott in the American courts, on the other hand, is concrete and definite, with the result that the reader retains a vivid notion of how the courts are dealing with boycott cases, and of the doctrines on which such decisions are